

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
DALLAS DIVISION**

**CREDIT CARD FRAUD CONTROL  
CORPORATION,**

Plaintiff,

**v.**

**ELAVON, INC.,**

Defendant.

Civil Action No. \_\_\_\_\_

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

**ORIGINAL COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff Credit Card Fraud Control Corporation files this Complaint against Elavon, Inc. for infringement of U.S. Patent No. 8,630,942 (the “’942 patent”).

**THE PARTIES**

1. Credit Card Fraud Control Corporation (“Fraud Control”) is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business in Newport Beach, California.

2. Elavon, Inc. (“Defendant”) is a Georgia corporation with its principal place of business in Atlanta, Georgia. This Defendant may be served with process through its registered agent, C T Corporation System, 1999 Bryan Street, Suite 900, Dallas, Texas 75201-3136.

**JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

3. Fraud Control brings this action for patent infringement under the patent laws of the United States, namely 35 U.S.C. §§ 271, 281, and 284-285, among others.

4. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the claims in this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1338(a), and 1367.

5. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(c) and 1400(b). On information and belief, Defendant is deemed to reside in this judicial district, has committed acts of infringement based on transactions arising in this judicial district, has purposely transacted business in this judicial district, and/or has regular and established places of business in this judicial district.

6. Defendant is subject to this Court's specific and general personal jurisdiction pursuant to due process and/or the Texas Long Arm Statute, due at least to its substantial business in this State and judicial district, including: (A) committing acts of infringement based on transactions arising in Texas; and (B) operating, conducting, engaging in, or carrying on business in Texas.

### **PATENT INFRINGEMENT**

7. Fraud Control incorporates paragraphs 1 through 6 herein by reference.

8. Fraud Control is the exclusive licensee of the '942 patent, entitled "Method of Billing a Purchase Made Over a Computer Network," with ownership of all substantial rights in the '942 patent, including the right exclude others and to enforce, sue and recover damages for past and future infringement. A true and correct copy of the '942 patent is attached as Exhibit A.

9. The '942 patent is valid, enforceable and was duly issued in full compliance with Title 35 of the United States Code.

10. Defendant has directly infringed and continues to directly infringe one or more claims of the '942 patent, including (for example) at least claims 1, 2, and 6-10, without the consent or authorization of Fraud Control, by using an Internet address (*e.g.*, an IP address) to identify, manage, and/or prevent fraudulent Internet transactions.

11. Fraud Control has been damaged as a result of Defendant's infringing conduct. Defendant is, thus, liable to Fraud Control in an amount that adequately compensates it for Defendant's infringements, which, by law, cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs as fixed by this Court under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

**JURY DEMAND**

Fraud Control hereby requests a trial by jury pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

Fraud Control requests that the Court find in its favor and against Defendant, and that the Court grant Fraud Control the following relief:

- a. Judgment that one or more claims of the '942 patent has been infringed, either literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, by Defendant;
- b. Judgment that Defendant account for and pay to Fraud Control all damages to and costs incurred by Fraud Control because of Defendant's infringing activities and other conduct complained of herein;
- c. Judgment that Defendant account for and pay to Fraud Control a reasonable, on-going, post-judgment royalty because of Defendant's infringing activities and other conduct complained of herein;
- d. That Fraud control be granted pre-judgment and post-judgment interest on the damages caused by Defendant's infringing activities and other conduct complained of herein; and
- e. That Fraud Control be granted such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper under the circumstances.

**Dated: September 10, 2014**

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Edward R. Nelson, III

Edward R. Nelson, III  
Texas State Bar No. 00797142  
Attorney-in-Charge  
Ryan P. Griffin  
Texas State Bar No. 24053687  
NELSON BUMGARDNER CASTO, P.C.  
3131 West 7<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 300  
Fort Worth, Texas 76107  
(817) 377-9111  
(817) 377-3485 (fax)  
enelson@nbclaw.net  
rgriffin@nbclaw.net

**Attorneys for Plaintiff  
CREDIT CARD FRAUD CONTROL  
CORPORATION**